EXHIBIT 76-10

EXHIBIT 76-10

Condensed Transcript

Luis Vega

Volume I

Date: January 15, 2019

Trade Show Services, Ltd. v. Integrated Systems Inprovement Services, Inc., et al.

Case No. 2:17-cv-01685-JAD-NJK

Oasis Reporting Services, LLC Phone: 702-476-4500

E-mail: info@oasisreporting.com
Internet: www.oasisreporting.com

```
Page 3
                                                 Page 1
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                                 INDEX
                                                                1
                    DISTRICT OF NEVADA
                                                                   WITNESS: LUIS VEGA
                                                                2
    TRADE SHOW SERVICES, LTD...
                                                                   EXAMINATION
                                                                                                         PAGE
    a Nevada Corporation.
 5
    d/b/a PRO-TECT SECURITY
                                                                   BY MS. GREEN
    SERVICES.
                                                                5
 6
         Plaintiff,
                                                                6
 7
                                   CASE NO.
                                                                7
                                                                               EXHIBITS
 я
                                   2:17-cv-01685-JAD-NJK
                                                                   NUMBER
                                                                                      DESCRIPTION
                                                                                                              PAGE
                                                                8
    INTEGRATED SYSTEMS
    IMPROVEMENT SERVICES.
                                                                9
                                                                   Exhibit No. 1
                                                                                    Witness Documents
                                                                                                               12
    INC., an Arizona
                                                                   Exhibit No. 2
                                                                                    Responses to Plaintiff's 31
    Corporation; INTEGRATED
                                                              10
    SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENT
                                                                               Interrogatories
                                                              11
    SERVICES, INC., d/b/a
    SPECIAL INTELLIGENCE
                                                              12 Exhibit No. 3
                                                                                    Requests for Production 36
    SERVICE, an Arizona
                                                                                    PRO-TECT 1 - 17
                                                              13 Exhibit No. 4
                                                                                                              46
    Corporation; DOE
    INDIVIDUALS I through X,
                                                                                                              49
                                                              14 Exhibit No. 5
                                                                                    PRO-TECT 192
    inclusive; and ROE
                                                                                    PRO-TECT 203-205
                                                                                                                53
14
    BUSINESS ENTITIES I
                                                              15
                                                                  Exhibit No. 6
    through X, inclusive,
                                                              16 Exhibit No. 7
                                                                                    PRO-TECT 213
                                                                                                              69
15
         Defendants.
                                                                                    PRO-TECT 18-27
                                                              17
                                                                   Exhibit No. 8
                                                                                                              72
16
                                                              18
                                                                   Exhibit No. 9
                                                                                    Mutual Nondisclosure
                                                                                                               82
17
18
                                                              19
                                                                               Agreement
                 DEPOSITION OF LUIS VEGA
19
                                                                   Exhibit No. 10 E-mails
                                                                                                        84
                                                              20
               Taken at the Law Offices of
                                                                   Exhibit No. 11 E-mail
                                                                                                        87
20
          KRAVITZ, SCHNITZER, & JOHNSON, CHTD.
           8985 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 200
                                                                   Exhibit No. 12 Defendants' Responses to 90
21
                 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
                   On January 15, 2019
At 9:45 a.m.
                                                              23
                                                                               Interrogatories
22
                                                              24
23
                JENNIFER M. DALY, CRR, RPR, CCR, CSR
24 Reported by:
                                                              25
25 License No.:
                                                Page 2
                                                                                                              Page 4
                                                                  LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, JANUARY 15, 2019
  1
     APPEARANCES:
                                                              2
                                                                          9:45 A.M.
      KRAVITZ, SCHNITZER, & JOHNSON, CHTD.
  2
                                                                           -000-
      BY: L. RENEE GREEN, ESQ.
  3
  4
         rgreen@ksjattorneys.com
                                                                WHEREUPON --
                                                                 (IN AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION HELD PRIOR TO THE
  5
         8985 South Eastern Avenue
                                                                COMMENCEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS, COUNSEL AGREED TO
  6
         Suite 200
                                                                WAIVE THE COURT REPORTER'S REQUIREMENTS UNDER NEVADA
  7
         Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
  8
         702.352.6666
                                                                RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, RULE 30(B)(4), OR FEDERAL
                                                                RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, RULE 30(B)(5), AS
  9
            On behalf of the Plaintiff;
                                                                APPLICABLE.)
10
      SMITH & SHAPIRO
                                                             11
                                                                        (WITNESS SWORN.)
11
      BY: ANDREW S. BLAYLOCK, ESQ.
12
                                                                Whereupon,
13
         ablaylock@smithshapiro.com
                                                             13
                                                                         LUIS VEGA,
         3333 East Serene Avenue
14
                                                             14 having been first duly swom to testify to the
15
         Suite 130
                                                                truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth,
16
         Henderson, Nevada 89074
                                                                was examined and testified as follows:
17
         702.318.5033
                                                             17
                                                                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
            On behalf of the Defendants.
18
                                                             18 BY MS. GREEN:
19
                                                             19
                                                                   Q. Good morning, Luis Vega.
    ALSO PRESENT:
20
                                                             20
                                                                   A. Good morning.
    Don Wright
                                                             21
                                                                  Q. My name is Renee Green. I represent
22
    Leslie Bruno
                                                                Trade Show Services d/b/a Pro-Tect Security.
23
                                                                Throughout this testimony, I'm going to identify
24
                                                                that company as Pro-Tect.
                                                             24
25
                                                                  A. Okay.
```

1	Page 5		Page 7
	Q. Is it okay if I call you Luis?	1 but do you agree that Mr. Wright is	an officer of
- 1	2 A. Yes.	2 Integrated well, I call you ISIS, bu	
	Q. Is it Luis or Luis?	3 make sure we're clear for the record	
1	4 A. Luis.	4 Integrated Systems Improvement Se	
- 1	Q. Okay. Is that fine if I call you Luis	5 A. Yes.	, (100b.
ı	5 then?	6 Q. And does Integrated System	s Improvement
1	7 A. Yeah.	7 Services go by any other name?	is maple vement
1	Q. Luis, have you ever had your deposition	8 A. Yes, d/b/a Special Intellige	ence Service
ı	taken before?	9 Q. Do you mind if I refer to Sp	
1		10 Intelligence Service as SIS	.co.a.
1:		11 A. Yes.	
1:	-	12 Q throughout this deposition	n?
1:		13 And you are here today due to	
14		14 contract issue from which occurre	
1:	-	15 around 2015.	M 111 01
16		16 In 2015, were you an officer	of SIS?
1		17 A. Yes.	
18		18 Q. In 2015, was Don Wright an	officer of
19		19 SIS?	
20		20 A. Yes.	
2:		Q. So you took an oath here too	lay from which
22		22 everything you say does have the sar	
23		23 giving testimony in a Court of law.	ino officer as if
24		Here in Nevada, you swear to	tell the
25		25 truth. In Nevada, a failure to do so d	
1	Page 6		Page 8
		1 a conviction of periury which is a felo	
-	A. A party.	1 a conviction of perjury, which is a felo	
2	A. A party. Q. You were a party?	2 Nevada.	
3	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah.	Nevada.Do you understand?	
3	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular	Nevada.Do you understand?A. Yes.	ony in
4	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer.	 Nevada. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today 	ony in
3	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year	 Nevada. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so 	is going to
4	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter?	 Nevada. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can 	is going to as a result
£ 5	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017,	 Nevada. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they 	is going to as a result view, re nonverbal,
5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November.	 Nevada. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they the court reporter cannot transcribe the 	is going to as a result view, tre nonverbal, m, so I ask
5 6 7 8 9	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in?	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask w	is going to as a result view, tre nonverbal, m, so I ask
3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio.	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask w answer.	is going to as a result view, tre nonverbal, m, so I ask
3 3 4 5 6 7 10 11 12	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken	Do you understand? A. Yes. Do you understand? A. Yes. C. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask wanswer. Do you understand?	is going to as a result view, tre nonverbal, m, so I ask
10 11 12 13	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada?	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask w answer. Do you understand? A. Yes.	is going to as a result a view, tre nonverbal, em, so I ask with a verbal
10 11 12 13	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada? A. No.	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they' the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask was answer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, I know throughout the december 10 that you answer.	is going to as a result view, re nonverbal, on, so I ask with a verbal
10 11 12 13 14 15	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada? A. No. Q. What I wanted to do is I'm going to go	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask w answer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, I know throughout the de that you may be able to anticipate the	is going to as a result view, re nonverbal, m, so I ask with a verbal
10 11 12 13 14 15	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada? A. No. Q. What I wanted to do is I'm going to go over a few general ground rules as it pertains to	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask w answer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, I know throughout the de that you may be able to anticipate the ask before I'm completely finished aski	is going to as a result view, re nonverbal, m, so I ask with a verbal eposition question I
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada? A. No. Q. What I wanted to do is I'm going to go over a few general ground rules as it pertains to getting your deposition taken here in Nevada. I am	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask w answer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, I know throughout the de that you may be able to anticipate the ask before I'm completely finished aski question; however, I do ask, in order to	is going to as a result view, re nonverbal, m, so I ask with a verbal eposition question I ing the o create a
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada? A. No. Q. What I wanted to do is I'm going to go over a few general ground rules as it pertains to getting your deposition taken here in Nevada. I am sure that your attorney advised you of some of those	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they! the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask wanswer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, I know throughout the de that you may be able to anticipate the gask before I'm completely finished asking question; however, I do ask, in order to clear record for the court reporter, that	is going to as a result a view, bre nonverbal, orn, so I ask with a verbal eposition question I ing the o create a you allow
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada? A. No. Q. What I wanted to do is I'm going to go over a few general ground rules as it pertains to getting your deposition taken here in Nevada. I am sure that your attorney advised you of some of those ground rules, but I just wanted to make sure we're	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they' the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask wanswer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, I know throughout the de that you may be able to anticipate the gask before I'm completely finished aski question; however, I do ask, in order to clear record for the court reporter, that me to finish asking the question, and the	is going to as a result a view, bre nonverbal, orn, so I ask with a verbal eposition question I ing the o create a you allow
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada? A. No. Q. What I wanted to do is I'm going to go over a few general ground rules as it pertains to getting your deposition taken here in Nevada. I am sure that your attorney advised you of some of those ground rules, but I just wanted to make sure we're clear. I also do want to state for the record,	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they' the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask wanswer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, I know throughout the de that you may be able to anticipate the gask before I'm completely finished aski question; however, I do ask, in order to clear record for the court reporter, that me to finish asking the question, and the there, you can provide an answer.	is going to as a result a view, bre nonverbal, orn, so I ask with a verbal eposition question I ing the o create a you allow
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada? A. No. Q. What I wanted to do is I'm going to go over a few general ground rules as it pertains to getting your deposition taken here in Nevada. I am sure that your attorney advised you of some of those ground rules, but I just wanted to make sure we're clear. I also do want to state for the record, going back, is that I do have you here for your	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they' the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask wanswer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, I know throughout the de that you may be able to anticipate the gask before I'm completely finished aski question; however, I do ask, in order to clear record for the court reporter, that me to finish asking the question, and the	is going to as a result a view, bre nonverbal, orn, so I ask with a verbal eposition question I ing the o create a you allow
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada? A. No. Q. What I wanted to do is I'm going to go over a few general ground rules as it pertains to getting your deposition taken here in Nevada. I am sure that your attorney advised you of some of those ground rules, but I just wanted to make sure we're clear. I also do want to state for the record, going back, is that I do have you here for your deposition today, which was duly noticed, then	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask wanswer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, I know throughout the de that you may be able to anticipate the gask before I'm completely finished asking question; however, I do ask, in order to clear record for the court reporter, that me to finish asking the question, and the there, you can provide an answer. Do you understand? A. Yes.	is going to as a result view, re nonverbal, m, so I ask with a verbal eposition question I ing the o create a you allow nen from
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada? A. No. Q. What I wanted to do is I'm going to go over a few general ground rules as it pertains to getting your deposition taken here in Nevada. I am sure that your attorney advised you of some of those ground rules, but I just wanted to make sure we're clear. I also do want to state for the record, going back, is that I do have you here for your deposition today, which was duly noticed, then afterwards, we're going to be taking the deposition	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they' the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask wanswer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, I know throughout the de that you may be able to anticipate the gask before I'm completely finished aski question; however, I do ask, in order to clear record for the court reporter, that me to finish asking the question, and the there, you can provide an answer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, let me know if you don't	is going to as a result view, re nonverbal, m, so I ask with a verbal eposition question I ing the o create a you allow nen from
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada? A. No. Q. What I wanted to do is I'm going to go over a few general ground rules as it pertains to getting your deposition taken here in Nevada. I am sure that your attorney advised you of some of those ground rules, but I just wanted to make sure we're clear. I also do want to state for the record, going back, is that I do have you here for your deposition today, which was duly noticed, then afterwards, we're going to be taking the deposition of Mr. Wright, and at this time, Don Wright, or	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they' the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask wanswer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, I know throughout the de that you may be able to anticipate the gask before I'm completely finished aski question; however, I do ask, in order to clear record for the court reporter, that me to finish asking the question, and the there, you can provide an answer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, let me know if you don't any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask. If you can you the questions that I ask. If you can you the questions that I ask. If you can you the questions that I ask. If you can you wanted the questions that I ask. If you can you wanted you wanted you don't any of the questions that I ask. If you can you wanted you wante	is going to as a result a view, bre nonverbal, bren, so I ask brith a verbal eposition question I ling the b create a you allow hen from
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. A party. Q. You were a party? A. Yeah. Q. What was your role in that particular A. Undercover officer. Q. Do you actually have the month and year that you were deposed in that matter? A. I think it was actually in 2017, December 2017, or November. Q. Which state were you deposed in? A. Ohio. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in Nevada? A. No. Q. What I wanted to do is I'm going to go over a few general ground rules as it pertains to getting your deposition taken here in Nevada. I am sure that your attorney advised you of some of those ground rules, but I just wanted to make sure we're clear. I also do want to state for the record, going back, is that I do have you here for your deposition today, which was duly noticed, then afterwards, we're going to be taking the deposition	Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Everything you say here today be transcribed by the court reporter, so thereof, I do ask that even though I can maybe, some of your responses if they' the court reporter cannot transcribe the that you answer everything that I ask wanswer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, I know throughout the de that you may be able to anticipate the gask before I'm completely finished aski question; however, I do ask, in order to clear record for the court reporter, that me to finish asking the question, and the there, you can provide an answer. Do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Also, let me know if you don't any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask. If you can provide any of the questions that I ask.	is going to as a result a view, bre nonverbal, bren, so I ask brith a verbal eposition question I ling the b create a you allow hen from

question the way that I asked it. 1 factfinder that those changes were made, and that 1 Do you understand? 2 could affect your credibility as it pertains to your 2 deposition testimony. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Also, I do not want you to guess, but I 4 Do you understand? 5 do -- I am entitled to an estimate, if asked. 5 Yes. Do you know the difference between a 6 Q. If we could take a break, I do believe 6 7 guess and an estimate? 7 Leslie is here. 8 MR. BLAYLOCK: That's fine. 8 A. Yes. Q. What is your interpretation of what the 9 9 (Short break was taken.) (Ms. Bruno joined the deposition.) difference is between a guess and an estimate? 10 10 MS. GREEN: Back on. A. A guess is just off the top of my head of 11 11 what I believe. BY MS. GREEN: 12 12 13 An estimate is more actual, more factual. 13 Q. So we're back on record. Luis, do you understand that you're under O. Okay. Yes. The schoolbook version for 14 14 the same oath that you took earlier today? 15 lawyers is that an estimate is me asking you how 15 long this table is that we're sitting in front of; 16 16 you can provide me an estimate for that, but a guess 17 What, if any, documents did you review in Q. is me asking you how long my table is in my dining preparation for your deposition today? 18 room. You shouldn't be able to answer that 19 A. The documents that were given to me by 19 20 question, and that's a guess, and I don't want you 20 the attorney. Q. Which documents were those? to guess. 21 21 22 Do you understand? 22 A. All the different documents, I guess that 23 was read back and forth between your firm and ours. 23 A. Yes. Q. Are those documents in front of you all Q. Have you taken any substances within the 24 25 last 24 hours that you believe will affect your the documents that you reviewed in preparation for Page 10 Page 12 your deposition testimony here today? 1 testimony here today? 2 2 Yes. A. No. Q. Is there any reason why you believe that May I please see those? 3 3 Q. this testimony cannot go forward today? 4 Α. Sure. 5 Q. Other than the documents that you provided here today, were there any other documents Also, please let me know if you do need 6 to take a break. We could take breaks as needed. that you reviewed in preparation for your deposition 7 The only thing that I ask is if there is a question testimony here today? pending, I ask that you answer the question first, 9 A. No. 9 and then afterwards, we can take a break. 10 Q. What I'll do is -- you can refer to those 10 Do you understand? documents in there, but what I do want to do is mark 11 12 12 those documents as Exhibit 1 for an exhibit. A. Yes. So I can either have -- I don't have my 13 13 Q. Luis, have you been known by any other 14 assistant here today, Mr. Blaylock. 14 names? What I can do, if your client doesn't 15 15 Q. Do you have any other nicknames? mind, if the court reporter can have it, have the 16 16 17 documents that we're marking here as Exhibit 1, then 17 provide you with those documents once she's finished Q. Also, the last thing, and I'm sure your 18 18 providing the deposition transcript? 19 attorney did let you know, is that you're going to have the opportunity to review your deposition 20 MR. BLAYLOCK: That's fine. 20 testimony here today once the court reporter 21 MS. GREEN: Okay. 21 22 (Vega Exhibit No. 1 was 22 provides that. You are able to make changes to your 23 marked for identification.) 23 deposition testimony; however, those changes are BY MS. GREEN: 24 noted and will be noted and can be noted by a Q. What, if anything else, other than 25

Case 2:17-cv-01685-JAD-NJK Document 76-10 Filed 07/09/19 Page 6 of 26				
	Page 13	***************************************	Page 15	
1	reviewing those documents, did you do to prepare for	1	felonies?	
2		2	A. Nope.	
3	• •	3	Q. About how long do you believe it took you	
4	Q. Did you speak to Mr. Blaylock, as it	4	to prepare for your deposition here today?	
5		5	A. I don't know.	
6	A. Yes.	6	Q. So do you you're not sure. Can you	
7	Q. Other than speaking to Mr. Blaylock, did	7	provide an estimate in regards to how long you	
8	you speak to any other person, as it relates to your	8	believe it took you to prepare for your deposition	
9	deposition testimony here today?	9	today?	
10	A. No.	10	A. An hour.	
11	Q. You did not speak to Don Wright, as it	11	Q. Okay. And in regards to the documents	
12	relates to your deposition testimony here today?	12	you reviewed, which we marked as Exhibit 1, do you	
13	A. Yes.	13	agree that those documents included SIS's responses	
14	Q. What day did you specifically speak to	14	to Pro-Tect's interrogatories, requests for	
15	Mr. Wright as it relates to your deposition	15	production, or requests for admission?	
16	testimony here today?	16	A. Does - say that again.	
17	A. Yesterday.	17	Q. Okay. In preparation for your	
18	Q. Other than yesterday, is there any other	18	deposition, you indicated that you reviewed the	
19	time that you spoke to Mr. Wright, as it relates to	19	documents that we marked	
20	your deposition testimony here today?	20	A. Mm-hmm.	
21	A. No.	21	Q as Exhibit 1; is that correct?	
22	Q. What did you two discuss, as it relates	22	A. Yes.	
23	to your deposition testimony?	23	Q. Do you agree that those documents that	
24	A. The documents before you	24	are marked as Exhibit 1 include SIS's responses to	
25	MR. BLAYLOCK: I'm going to object to the	25	Pro-Tect's interrogatories, requests for admission,	
***************************************	Page 14		Page 16	
1	extent that they were discussions that were had	1	and requests for production?	
2	among the three of us.	2	A. Yes.	
3	BY MS. GREEN:	3	Q. Okay. At this time, do you believe that	
4	Q. Okay. So did you speak to Mr when	4	those responses provided by SIS are accurate?	
5	you spoke to Mr. Wright, was anyone else present	5	A. Yes.	
6	with you?	6	Q. Is there anything that you do want to	
7	A. No.	7	change to those responses to Pro-Tect's requests for	
8	Q. Okay. So at the time you spoke to	8	production, requests for admission, or	
9	Mr. Wright, as it relates to your deposition	9	interrogatories?	
10	testimony and an attorney wasn't present, what did	10	A. No.	
11	you and Mr. Wright discuss?	11	Q. And you testified earlier that you, in or	
12	A. Private matters.	12	around 2015, you were an officer for Integrated	
13	Q. What were what were included in those	13	Systems Improvement Services; is that correct?	
14	private matters?	14	A. Yes.	
15	A. Me going to the gym this morning, what	15	Q. Were there any other officers that were a	
16	time we're having breakfast.	16	part of SIS?	

- Q. Did it have anything to do with your 17
- 18 deposition testimony here today?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. So yesterday, when you spoke to
- 21 Don Wright without an attorney present, you two did
- not discuss what your deposition testimony would be
- 23 here today?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Have you ever been convicted of any

- 17 A. Don Wright.
- Q. And are there any officers presently that 18
- 19 are a part of SIS?
- 20

21

- How long have you been an officer of SIS?
- 22 Since 2010.
- 23 And between 2012 and 2017, did you
- 24 negotiate contracts on behalf of SIS with other
- third parties?

- A. Give me the dates again. Between yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Did you understand the question?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And between 2012 and 2017, did you sign
- 5 contracts on behalf of SIS?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. To you knowledge, who, if anyone else,
- 8 signed contracts on behalf of SIS, between the years
- 9 of 2014 to 2017?
- 10 A. Don Wright.
- 11 Q. Is that the only party who also signed
- 12 contracts on behalf of SIS, to your knowledge?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Are you an officer of any other
- 15 companies, other than SIS?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Which companies are you an officer of?
- 18 A. Tigua, Inc.
- 19 Q. May you please spell that for the record?
- 20 A. T-I-G-U-A.
- 21 Q. What products or services does
- 22 Tigua, Inc., provide?
- 23 A. Technology services, operations and
- 24 maintenance, security.
- 25 Q. And was Tigua, Incorporated, in existence

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 O. Okay. So in your opinion, what's the
- 3 difference between Tigua, Inc. and SIS?
- 4 A. Tigua, Inc. is an 8A, a Native
- 5 corporation; SIS is not.
- 6 Q. Where is Tigua, Inc. domiciled?
 - A. El Paso, Texas.
- 8 Q. Okay. We discussed whether you were an
- 9 officer of any other companies; however, are you an
- 10 owner of any other companies, such as any other
- 11 private companies?
- 12 A. No.

7

15

20

- 13 Q. Are you a member of any limited liability
- 14 companies?
 - A. No.
- 16 Q. And I'm assuming that you have knowledge
- 17 in regards to the services that Trade Show Services
- 18 Limited d/b/a Pro-Tect Security provides; is that
- 19 correct?
 - A. Not in its entirety, no.
- 21 Q. In 2015, what was your understanding of
- 22 the services that Pro-Tect provided?
- 23 A. Security services.
- Q. Do you agree that Pro-Tect provided
- 25 security services to third parties?

Page 18

Page 20

Page 19

- 1 in or around 2015?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Is Tigua, Incorporated still in existence
- 4 now?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Are there any other officers that are
- 7 part of Tigua, Incorporated?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Who are they?
- 10 A. Don Wright.
- 11 Q. Any other officers other than Don Wright
- 12 a part of Tigua, Inc.?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Who else?
- 15 A. There's been a change, so I couldn't tell
- 16 you with accuracy.
- 17 Q. How many other officers, other than
- 18 Don Wright and yourself, are a part of Tigua, Inc.?
- 19 A. I don't know.

www.oasisreporting.com

- Q. Are you an officer of any other companies
- 21 other than Tigua, Inc. and SIS?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. And you testified that Tigua, Inc.
- 24 provides security services for third parties; is
- 25 that correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. In 2015, did you have any understanding
- 3 of what Leslie Bruno's role was with the company?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. What was your understanding of Leslie
- 6 Bruno's role at the company?
- 7 A. That she was president.
- 8 Q. And we are here due to allegations of a
- 9 breach contract issue. Do you agree that Pro-Tect
- 10 had a contract with SIS in or around 2015?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay. What, if any, relationship did
- 13 Pro-Tect have with SIS Holdings in or around
- 14 of 2015?
- 15 A. I don't remember.
- 16 Q. You don't recall?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Is there any reason or -- we discussed
- 19 earlier whether or not you took any medication that
- 20 may affect your memory today, and you indicated that
- 21 you have not; is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any medical condition that
- 24 you're aware of that may affect your testimony here
- 25 today?

1 A. No.

- 2 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of
- anything that transpired between Pro-Tect Services
- and SIS between -- well, in the year of 2015?
- 5 A. Yes, I do.
- 6 Q. Okay. And what, if anything, do you
- believe transpired between Pro-Tect Services and SIS 7
- in 2015?
- 9 A. There were several that I was working
- 10 with. Gene, Gene Tosti.
- 11 Q. Will you please spell his name for the
- 12 record?
- 13 A. T-O-S-T-I, Gene, G-E-N-E.
- O. And who is Gene Tosti? 14
- A. An executive with Pro-Tect. 15
- 16 Q. And what were you discussing, if
- anything, with Gene Tosti in or around 2015? 17
- 18 A. Federal Government contracts.
- 19 O. Other than discussing Federal Government
- contracts, did you discuss anything else with 20
- Gene Tosti? 21
- 22 A. Yes, but I wouldn't recall.
- 23 Q. Did you do -- you testified earlier that
- 24 there was no contract between Pro-Tect and
- 25 SIS Holdings; is that correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Reviewing those documents in front of you
- did not refresh your recollection in regards to any
- agreements that you made with Pro-Tect in or
- 5 around 2015?
- 6 A. Are you - yes. Are you speaking about
- 7 the agreement that we have with regards to Vegas or
- any other -
- 9 Q. I'm asking in general. In 2015, what, if
- 10 any, agreements did SIS form with Pro-Tect?
- 11 A. I'd have to recollect on the date, but if
- we're speaking on the one here in Vegas, it was an 12
- agreement that we would go after services together 13
- for providing those services to a project here in 14
- 15 Nevada.
- 16 Q. And when was this agreement formed?
- 17 A. I - I can't recall that. I'd have to
- 18 look at the dates.
- 19 O. And who formed this agreement?
- 20 A. Myself and Gene.
- 21 Q. Okay. Did you form this agreement with
- any other party other than Gene? 22
- A. I know I had discussions with Leslie, but 23
- 24 I couldn't recall if they were actual agreements or
- not. I know we spoke on the phone many times as

Page 22

- A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Do you agree that -- do you believe that
- 3 there was any agreement between Pro-Tect Services
- and SIS Holdings in or around 2015? 4
- 5 A. Yes.

1

- 6 What was the agreement? Q.
- 7 A. That we would go after services together.
- 8 Q. What kind of services?
- 9 A. Security services.
- Q. Security services with whom? 10
- 11 A. With either the Federal Government or any
- 12 other contract that we were pursuing together.
- Q. Was there a specific business that you 13
- 14 were -- well, that SIS was looking to form a
- contract with? 15
- 16 A. Well, there were numerous federal ones
- 17 there were federal contracts that we were going
- 18 after together.

www.oasisreporting.com

- Q. Okay. And what were the terms of any 19
- 20 agreement that SIS entered into with Pro-Tect
- Services? 21
- 22 A. I don't recall. It's -- I don't recall.
- 23 Q. And you indicated that you reviewed the
- documents marked as Exhibit 1 in preparation for
- your deposition testimony today; is that correct?

- well as meeting in person. 1
- 2 Q. Do you recall what you spoke with
- 3 Leslie Bruno about?
- A. No.
- 5 Q. Do you recall the terms of the agreement?
- A. With regards to the arena, was that we 6
- 7 were going to try to get the contract for security
- 8 services for All Net.
- 9 Q. And what were the details in regards to
- 10 trying to get the security services for All Net?
- 11 A. Once the project was funded, once the
- project started, that we would actually sit down and 12
- 13 discuss moving forward with an agreement with the
- 14
- 15 Q. And who did you discuss that particular
- 16 detail with?
- 17 A. Gene. Not sure if it actually led into
- 18 Leslie or not, but most of it was Gene.
- Q. What was the date that you spoke to Gene 19
- 20 about in regards to whether All Net would be funded?
- 21 A. I don't recall. I'd have to look at the
- notes. I don't recall the exact date.
- 23 Q. Do you recall the month that you --
- 24 A. No.
- Q. -- spoke to Gene about it?

Page 24

3

4

6

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Page 25

- 1 How did you speak to Gene about this?
- 2 What was the method of communication?
 - A. Most on the phone.

3

4

6

- Q. Were there any notes to that, that
- memorialized your interpretation of the agreement?
- A. No handwritten notes. Anything would 7 have been on e-mail.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any e-mails that 8
- 9 memorialize your version of the agreements?
- 10 A. I -- I -- I don't know. I'd have to look 11 at what was produced.
- Q. In your opinion, what was Gene's role 12
- 13 with Pro-Tect Services in 2015?
- 14 A. If I recall, he was a senior executive
- 15 for business development.
- 16 Q. Did you officially form any agreement
- with Leslie Bruno in 2015 that relates to any 17
- 18 business here in Las Vegas?
- 19 A. No, I believe we sent on NDA. I don't
- think there was anything else formal. 20
- Q. And upon reviewing the documents that are 21
- 22 marked here as Exhibit 1, does that refresh your
- recollection whether or not there was any other
- 24 agreement that SIS formed with Pro-Tect as it
- 25 relates to any business dealings here in Las Vegas?
 - - - other clients. 1
 - 2 Q. Such as? Name some expenses that you 3 would do?
 - A. Dinners, attend events, perform services. 4
 - 5 Q. Did it include providing security services? 6
 - 7 A. Yes.
 - 8 Q. Okay. Do you -- you also testified today
 - that spoke with Leslie Bruno specifically about
 - paying -- about the requisite companies paying for 10
 - their own business development expenses in order to
 - seek third-party contracts from other businesses in
 - Las Vegas; is that correct? 13
 - 14 A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you recall the month and date that you 15
 - discussed with Leslie Bruno the agreement?
 - 17 A. No.
 - Q. Do you recall the method of communication 18
 - from which you discussed with Leslie Bruno this
 - 20 agreement?
 - 21 A. Most was on the phone.
 - Q. Okay. About how many times in 2015 did
 - 23 you discuss this with Leslie Bruno over the phone?
 - A. I couldn't tell you.
 - Q. Ultimately, which party in Pro-Tect did

- Page 26
- 1 A. In the paperwork that I received, there 2 is a subcontract agreement in there.
- Q. Other than the subcontract agreement, was 3
- there any other agreements besides the NDA that
- 5 Pro-Tect formed with SIS in 2015?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- 7 Q. Other than yourself, did anyone else
- negotiate the terms of any agreement with Pro-Tect
- on behalf of SIS? 9

6

- 10 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 11 Q. And so is it your testimony here today
- 12 that you formed an agreement with Pro-Tect, as it
- relates to providing contract services here in
- 14 Nevada; is that correct?
- 15 Yes. A.
- Was there a specific business that SIS 16
- and/or Pro-Tect sought to form a contract with, in
- your opinion, as it relates to providing services in 18
- Las Vegas? 19
- 20 A. Security.
- To a particular company in Las Vegas? 21
- A. To -- yes, both to All Net, as well as 22
- 23 one other that Leslie had.
- Q. Do you recall the name of the other 24
- 25 company?

24

25

Page 27

Page 28

A. I don't think it was a company. It might

A. Tito - I don't remember the name of it.

have been. It was Tito Ortiz's company.

Q. Okay. As it relates to All Net, was

there a specific time as to when the agreement

between Pro-Tect Services and SIS would end?

O. Okay. Other than attempting to receive

contracts from other parties for security services in Las Vegas, what were the other terms of the

agreement between Pro-Tect Services and SIS?

A. That we would pay for each other's

Q. And what was entailed in regards to --

for paying for each other's business development?

Q. What exactly was entailed -- what does

business development exactly encompass in regards to

A. Doing what you do to seek business from

A. I don't - I don't understand.

business development until a project was funded to

Q. Whose company?

It's an MMA fighter.

A. Would end?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

Q. Yes.

move forward.

your terms of the contract?

Page 29 Page 31 you form this supposed agreement with? So which May you please identify which e-mail individual did you supposedly form this agreement you're referring to that relates to your contention 2 that Gene memorialized an agreement between Pro-Tect 3 with? Was it Leslie? 4 A. Gene, but ultimately Leslie. It -Iand SIS? mean, I assume she would have to approve anything 5 A. Well, it starts at the beginning of the that happens with her own company. 6 top of the page. 6 7 Q. Did you have anything from Gene that 7 Q. Okay. So are you referring to here, "We understand that the funding is not available yet. 8 memorialized this supposed agreement that we just We're willing to support the project and move 9 discussed? 9 10 A. I don't know. I'd have to look at forward as planned." 10 11 Is that correct? 11 whatever him and I agreed to. Q. Okay. So you're not aware if SIS --12 A. Correct. 13 13 A. I believe there was something in e-mail. Q. Okay. Is there any other documents, in Q. Okay. Do you have the month and date of your opinion, that memorialize any agreement between 14 14 Pro-Tect Services and SIS Holdings that relate to that particular e-mail? 15 16 A. Not particularly. I'd have to look at the agreement? 17 the notes. 17 A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. Okay. What I want to do is mark this 18 MS. GREEN: Okay. So what I would 18 next exhibit as Exhibit 2. This is also probably in 19 request, then, is -- and I will send a -- if your client can supplement the RFPs that were sent that 20 your copy, as well. relates to Mr. Vega's contention, that would be 21 What I'll do is have your attorney review 21 it, and then afterwards, you can review it, and then 22 great. 22 let me know when you're finished. 23 MR. BLAYLOCK: He's asking to look at his 23 (Vega Exhibit No. 2 was 24 notes. 24 25 /// 25 marked for identification.) Page 30 Page 32 BY MS. GREEN: 1 MR. BLAYLOCK: Do you want me to give him 1 2 Q. Oh, you want to look at your notes? 2 my --3 3 MS. GREEN: I made other copies. Here A. Yeah. Q. That's fine. You can look at your notes 4 you go. 5 and go from there. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MS. GREEN: To make it clear for the record, you're 6 Q. Okay. Do you recognize the document 7 7 looking at Exhibit 1, what's marked as Exhibit 1? that's marked as Exhibit 2? 8 8 A. Yes. 9 A. As this is Exhibit 2? 9 I have a date. Q. Correct. Q. Okay. If you may please provide that 10 10 11 date? 11 A. Yes. Q. May you please identify what that 12 12 A. October 26th, 2014. document is marked as Exhibit 2. Q. Okay. So October 26th of 2014? 13 13 14 A. Mm-hmm. 14 A. Just the lawsuit, the case number. Is O. Are you testifying that Gene formed a -that what you're asking? 15 15 or memorialized an agreement between SIS and Q. No. Do you recognize that this document 16 17 marked as Exhibit 2 is SIS's responses to Pro-Tect's 17 Pro-Tect? second set of interrogatories? A. Yes. 18 18 19 Q. Which document did you review in order to A. Yes. 19 20 testify to that fact? 20 Q. Were these part of the documents you A. It's listed as SIS 0066 in Exhibit 1. reviewed in preparation for your deposition 21 testimony here today? Q. Okay. So I have SIS 0066 here, and what 22 22 23 I'll do is I'll represent that SIS 0066 were the 23 A. Yes. documents that were provided by SIS in their 24 Q. And I would like to refer you to SIS's Rule 26 disclosures. 25 responses to Interrogatory No. 21 and 22. When

- 1 you're done reviewing both, please let me know so I can ask you questions in regards to this.
- 3 A. Okav.
- Q. Are you finished reviewing the responses? 4
- 5 Yes. A.
- You testified earlier that Gene Tosti 6
- negotiated, on behalf of Pro-Tect, as it relates to 7
- an agreement between Pro-Tect and SIS; is that 8
- correct? 9
- 10 A. Correct.
- Q. However, in SIS's responses to 11
- 12 Interrogatory No. 21, do you agree that the
- interrogatory specifically asked SIS to identify 13
- each individual that entered into the oral 14
- agreement? 15
- A. Yes. 16
- 17 Okay. And do you agree that SIS's Q.
- response to Interrogatory No. 21 does not indicate
- that Gene Tosti was part of the oral agreement? 19
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Is there any reason why your
- 22 answer here today differs from SIS's response to
- 23 this specific interrogatory?
- 24 A. No.
- Do you agree that your testimony earlier 25

- Yes.
- 2 Q. And you took an oath here today; is that

Page 35

3 correct?

5

12

14

19

- 4 A. Yes.
 - Q. So in regards to the two facts
- contradicting each other, which one is true as it 6
- relates to SIS forming a supposed oral agreement
- 8 with Pro-Tect?
- 9 MR. BLAYLOCK: Object to the form of the
- 10 question.
- 11 Go ahead.
 - THE WITNESS: Both.
- 13 BY MS. GREEN:
 - O. So both are true?
- 15 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. If both are true, why didn't SIS provide, 16
- in its responses, that an oral agreement was formed 17
- in or around October of 2014? 18
 - A. I forgot.
- 20 I forgot.
- Q. Okay. But you testified earlier, during 21
- your deposition today, that you would not change any 22
- of the responses to SIS's -- specifically, to SIS's 23
- responses to Pro-Tect's propounded discovery; is
- that correct? 25

Page 34

- 1 A. Yes.
 - O. And before your deposition here today,
 - you were able to review SIS's responses to
 - Pro-Tect's propounded discovery; is that correct?
 - 5 A. Yes.
 - Q. We're going to -- I'm going to have your 6
 - attorney review this document, which is going to be 7
 - marked Exhibit 3. This one is titled, in short,
 - SIS's responses to Pro-Tect's second set of requests 9
 - for production of documents? 10
 - (Vega Exhibit No. 3 was 11
 - 12 marked for identification.)
 - BY MS. GREEN: 13
 - Q. When your attorney is finished, I'll 14
 - provide you with a copy. 15
 - 16 Here you go.
 - 17 Are you finished reviewing the document?
 - 18
 - 19 Q. Do you recognize this document marked as
 - 20 Exhibit 3?
 - 21 A. I do.
 - Q. May you please state what you believe 22
 - this document is marked as Exhibit 3? 23
 - A. The responses. 24
 - And it specifies the responses to 25

- today in regards to Gene Tosti being a part of the oral agreement in or around October 2014 differs
- from SIS's response to the interrogatory asking the 3
- 4 same?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And SIS's response to this
- specific interrogatory asking when the oral 7
- agreement was entered into indicates that the oral
- agreement was entered into May of 2015; is that 9
- correct? 10
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. However, your testimony earlier today,
- when you referred to SIS -- well, Bates-stamp No. 13
- SIS 66, speaks of an earlier discussion that 14
- occurred in October of 2014; is that correct? 15
- 16
- 17 Do you have any opinion as to why the
- date between October 2014 and May 2015 differ in 18
- 19 regards to SIS supposedly forming an oral agreement?
- I spoke to Gene more than I did Leslie. 20
- Okav. 21 Q.
- 22 A. I couldn't tell you when I did talk to
- 23
- Q. Okay. So these responses provided by SIS 24
- 25 were verified under oath; is that correct?

- 1 SIS's -- or it's SIS's responses Pro-Tect's second
- set of requests for production of documents; is that
- 3 correct?

5

- 4 A. Correct.
 - Q. And if you may, please, look at SIS's
- 6 response to Pro-Tect's Request for Production No. 69
- and 70, and let me know when you're finished 7
- reviewing it. 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you agree that Pro-Tect's
- 11 request for number -- strike that.
- 12 Do you agree that Pro-Tect's Request for
- 13 Production No. 69 requests documents that
- memorialized the terms of the oral agreement that
- 15 SIS referenced?
- A. I didn't understand. 16
- 17 Q. Okay. Do you agree that request
- 18 number -- Request for Production No. 69, propounded
- by Pro-Tect, specifically requests all documents 19
- from SIS that memorialize the terms of the,
- quote/unquote, oral agreement?
- A. Yes. 22
- 23 Q. Okay. And do you agree that SIS's
- 24 response to that specific request for production
- 25 indicated that it does not have any responsive

- 1 agreement existed in 2014; is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 O. Is there a reason why SIS's responses to

Page 39

Page 40

- the requests for production differ from your
- testimony here today?
- A. No. 6

7

- O. So here today, with you referencing Bates
- No. SIS 66, would you supplement your responses to
- Pro-Tect's second set of requests for production in 9
- Exhibit 3 at all? 10
- A. Would I supplement? 11
- 12 Q. Correct.
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or
- not All Net was aware of a contract -- of any 15
- agreement between SIS and Pro-Tect? 16
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to
- whether any officer of All Net knew that Pro-Tect 19
- was providing services to that specific company? 20
- 21 A. Do I have any knowledge if any of the
- officers -- repeat that. 22
- Q. Do you have any knowledge as to whether 23
- any of the officers of All Net knew that Pro-Tect 24
- was providing any services on behalf of All Net

Page 38

- 1 itself?
- 2 A. I -- I don't know.
- Q. Do you know who would have the -- who 3
- would know the answer to that question?
- 5 A. Mr. Wright.
- Okay. Is there, to your knowledge, any 6
- e-mail correspondence indicating whether or not
- Pro-Tect provided services to any of the officers of
- All Net, to your knowledge? 9
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. Do you agree that Pro-Tect provided
- security services to All Net --12
- 13 A. Yes.

14

- Q. -- in or around 2015?
- 15 Okay. What made you come to that
- 16 conclusion?
- 17 A. Because they physically did.
- Q. Did you physically observe Pro-Tect 18
- 19 providing security services to All Net?
- 20
- 21 Q. So how do you know that Pro-Tect provided
- 22 security services to All Net?
- 23 A. Phone calls and e-mails.
- So you do have knowledge, in fact, that 24
- 25 Pro-Tect did provide security services to All Net?

1 documents?

- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. However, your testimony here today 3
- differed because you referenced Bates No. 66 from
- SIS as a term -- as an e-mail that memorialized the
- term of an oral agreement; is that correct? 6
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And you agree that oral -- that
- supposed -- well, strike that. 9
- You agree that the supposed oral 10
- 11 agreement you were referencing occurred in 2014; is
- that correct? 12
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. From which Pro-Tect failed to identify in 14
- 15 its interrogatory responses that were provided in
- Exhibit 2; is that correct? 16
- A. I'm sorry, I don't understand. 17
- Q. Okay. And you agree that -- strike that. 18
- 19 So you agree that the SIS -- that Bates
- 20 No. SIS 66, that you referenced, discussed certain events that occurred in 2014; is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you agree that SIS's responses to
- 24 Pro-Tect's interrogatories, which were referenced in
- Exhibit 2, does not indicate that the quote/unquote

	Page 41		Page 43
1	A. Yes.	1	1 prepared that formed an agreement between Pro-Tect
2	Q. Okay. Do you agree that you just	2	2 and SIS?
3		3	3 A. I believe so.
4		4	
5	· •	1	5 A. It was a draft subcontract agreement.
6		6	
7		1	7 subcontract agreement?
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	
11		11	
12		12	
13	Q. Was there ever an agreement formed	13	•
14		14	
15	A. Yes.	15	
16	Q. What was the agreement between SIS and	16	
17	•	17	
18		18	
	A. That once they were funded, we would be	19	
19	their security guard.		
20	Q. Were there any documents memorializing this agreement that you just testified to?	20	•
21	A. I don't know.	22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1		23	
23	Q. And who formed this agreement with All Net?	24	
24		25	
23	A. I believe Mr. Wright.	23	Q. Did sile have any other title:
	Page 42		Page 44
1	Q. What do you believe base your belief	1	A. I I don't remember.
2	upon?	2	Q. In 2015, what did Garylene Javier's job
3	A. Discussions.	3	
4	Q. Discussions between whom?	4	
5	A. Don Wright and I.	5	
6	Q. When did those discussions occur?	6	
7	A. I couldn't tell you.	I	O. Did Ms. Javier send contracts to third
	A. I comunitatingou.	7	
8	•	8	7 parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015?
8 9	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred?	_	7 parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? 3 A. Yes.
ı	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred?	8	7 parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving
9	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred?A. No, it's been a long time since we've	8 9	7 parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS
9 10	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred?A. No, it's been a long time since we've been discussing it.	8 9 10	parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? A. Yes. Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS in 2015?
9 10 11	 Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred? A. No, it's been a long time since we've been discussing it. Q. Who would have the most knowledge in 	8 9 10 11	parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? A. Yes. Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS in 2015? A. Yes, she would have been copied on
9 10 11 12	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred?A. No, it's been a long time since we've been discussing it.	8 9 10 11 12	parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? A. Yes. Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS in 2015? A. Yes, she would have been copied on e-mails.
9 10 11 12 13	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred? A. No, it's been a long time since we've been discussing it. Q. Who would have the most knowledge in regards to whether or not an agreement was memorialized between All Net and SIS?	8 9 10 11 12 13	parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? A. Yes. Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS in 2015? A. Yes, she would have been copied on e-mails. Q. What were the circumstances of SIS
9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred? A. No, it's been a long time since we've been discussing it. Q. Who would have the most knowledge in regards to whether or not an agreement was memorialized between All Net and SIS? A. Mr. Wright.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? A. Yes. Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS in 2015? A. Yes, she would have been copied on e-mails. Q. What were the circumstances of SIS providing a draft subcontract agreement to Pro-Tect?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred? A. No, it's been a long time since we've been discussing it. Q. Who would have the most knowledge in regards to whether or not an agreement was memorialized between All Net and SIS? A. Mr. Wright. Q. And you testified earlier that you did	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? A. Yes. Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS in 2015? A. Yes, she would have been copied on e-mails. Q. What were the circumstances of SIS providing a draft subcontract agreement to Pro-Tect? A. We believed, at the time, we were heading
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred? A. No, it's been a long time since we've been discussing it. Q. Who would have the most knowledge in regards to whether or not an agreement was memorialized between All Net and SIS? A. Mr. Wright. Q. And you testified earlier that you did not have knowledge whether or not a document	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? A. Yes. Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS in 2015? A. Yes, she would have been copied on e-mails. Q. What were the circumstances of SIS providing a draft subcontract agreement to Pro-Tect? A. We believed, at the time, we were heading toward funding, and in order to prepare for — for
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred? A. No, it's been a long time since we've been discussing it. Q. Who would have the most knowledge in regards to whether or not an agreement was memorialized between All Net and SIS? A. Mr. Wright. Q. And you testified earlier that you did not have knowledge whether or not a document memorialized an agreement between All Net and SIS;	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? A. Yes. Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS in 2015? A. Yes, she would have been copied on e-mails. Q. What were the circumstances of SIS providing a draft subcontract agreement to Pro-Tect? A. We believed, at the time, we were heading toward funding, and in order to prepare for — for that to happen, we would have made drafts.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred? A. No, it's been a long time since we've been discussing it. Q. Who would have the most knowledge in regards to whether or not an agreement was memorialized between All Net and SIS? A. Mr. Wright. Q. And you testified earlier that you did not have knowledge whether or not a document memorialized an agreement between All Net and SIS; is that correct?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? A. Yes. Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS in 2015? A. Yes, she would have been copied on e-mails. Q. What were the circumstances of SIS providing a draft subcontract agreement to Pro-Tect? A. We believed, at the time, we were heading toward funding, and in order to prepare for for that to happen, we would have made drafts. Q. Did you did SIS provide Pro-Tect with
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred? A. No, it's been a long time since we've been discussing it. Q. Who would have the most knowledge in regards to whether or not an agreement was memorialized between All Net and SIS? A. Mr. Wright. Q. And you testified earlier that you did not have knowledge whether or not a document memorialized an agreement between All Net and SIS; is that correct? A. Yes, I don't remember.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? A. Yes. Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS in 2015? A. Yes, she would have been copied on e-mails. Q. What were the circumstances of SIS providing a draft subcontract agreement to Pro-Tect? A. We believed, at the time, we were heading toward funding, and in order to prepare for — for that to happen, we would have made drafts. Q. Did you — did SIS provide Pro-Tect with a draft contract agreement?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred? A. No, it's been a long time since we've been discussing it. Q. Who would have the most knowledge in regards to whether or not an agreement was memorialized between All Net and SIS? A. Mr. Wright. Q. And you testified earlier that you did not have knowledge whether or not a document memorialized an agreement between All Net and SIS; is that correct? A. Yes, I don't remember. Q. Other than Mr. Wright, would anyone else	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? A. Yes. Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS in 2015? A. Yes, she would have been copied on e-mails. Q. What were the circumstances of SIS providing a draft subcontract agreement to Pro-Tect? A. We believed, at the time, we were heading toward funding, and in order to prepare for for that to happen, we would have made drafts. Q. Did you did SIS provide Pro-Tect with a draft contract agreement? A. I think so, yeah.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Can you estimate what year those discussions occurred? A. No, it's been a long time since we've been discussing it. Q. Who would have the most knowledge in regards to whether or not an agreement was memorialized between All Net and SIS? A. Mr. Wright. Q. And you testified earlier that you did not have knowledge whether or not a document memorialized an agreement between All Net and SIS; is that correct? A. Yes, I don't remember.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	parties on behalf of ISIS in 2015? A. Yes. Q. Was Ms. Javier responsible for receiving contracts executed by third parties on behalf of SIS in 2015? A. Yes, she would have been copied on e-mails. Q. What were the circumstances of SIS providing a draft subcontract agreement to Pro-Tect? A. We believed, at the time, we were heading toward funding, and in order to prepare for — for that to happen, we would have made drafts. Q. Did you — did SIS provide Pro-Tect with a draft contract agreement? A. I think so, yeah. Q. Do you recall the date that SIS provided

No.

Q. Were there any documents that were

24

25

25

Same date.

So the same date being June 1st, 2015 --

Page 47 Page 45 1 A. Yes. 1 that we've been referencing as the subcontract 2 O. -- is that correct? agreement, which is Bates numbered Pro-Tect 1 3 And when you refer to a draft subcontract through 17? 3 agreement being sent to Pro-Tect, were you referring A. Correct. to the subcontract agreement that is Bates numbered 5 Do you agree that SIS sent this on the bottom right as Pro-Tect 1 to -subcontract -- strike that. б 7 Do you agree that SIS sent the document A. Yes. titled, "The subcontract agreement," to Pro-Tect, 8 Q. I want to clarify for the record. 9 A. 501. 9 from which is marked as Exhibit 4? 10 Q. You're referring to the document that you 10 A. Yes. 11 were referencing as the draft subcontract agreement 11 Q. Do you agree that -- strike that. 12 that is Bates numbered, on the bottom right, as 12 Do you agree that SIS sent this contract 13 Pro-Tect 1 to Pro-Tect 17; is that correct? to Pro-Tect to review? 13 14 A. Yes. 14 A. Yes. And you agree that this agreement that is Do you agree that SIS sent this 15 15 subcontract -- sent this contract to Pro-Tect to 16 Bates numbered Pro-Tect 1 to 17 was sent to 16 Pro-Tect? sign? 17 18 A. Yes. 18 Α. Say that again. 19 Q. Okay. And do you agree that the 19 Q. Do you agree that SIS sent this contract documents sent that's Bates numbered Pro-Tect 1 to for Pro-Tect to sign? 20 21 17 was sent after any discussions occurred with Gene 21 A. Not sure if I understand the question. 22 Tosti in 2014; is that correct? 22 If it was sent the day that it was drafted, then 23 it's a draft. A. Yes. 23 24 Q. What was your belief that Pro-Tect was 24 O. Is there anything that you have that 25 supposed to do with the draft subcontract agreement, 25 indicates that SIS was only sending the subcontract Page 46 Page 48 1 that you title it, when it was sent to Pro-Tect? 1 agreement as a draft? 2 A. Review it. 2 A. No, I don't have anything. Q. Do you have any documents that indicate 3 Q. Did you expect Pro-Tect to execute the 3 subcontract agreement? that Pro-Tect was not supposed to execute the subcontract agreement that SIS sent to you? 5 A. I would have expected them to review it 5 6 until the final one gets executed. 6 Q. Okay. Was there anything that was sent 7 7 (Short break was taken.) to Pro-Tect, in forming it, that this was just a 8 MS. GREEN: Back on the record. subcontract agreement, and they were not to execute 9 9 BY MS. GREEN: 10 this specific subcontract agreement? 10 Q. So we just took a break, and Luis, do you A. Not that I could recall. understand that you're under the same oath that you 11 11 took earlier this morning? Since we are speaking of this document, I 12 12 am going to provide your attorney with this 13 Α. 13 document, and when he's finished reviewing this So you testified earlier that SIS sent a, 14 document, I'll provide you with the same. quote/unquote, draft subcontract to Pro-Tect; is 15 15 (Vega Exhibit No. 4 was 16 that correct? 16 marked for identification.) 17 17 A. Yes. Q. What, if any, response did Pro-Tect 18 MR. BLAYLOCK: You can go ahead and 18 provide it to him. 19 provide in regards to the, quote/unquote, draft 19 20 BY MS. GREEN: 20 subcontract? 21 Q. Here's the document. Please let me know 21 A. I don't -- I couldn't recall.

A. I'm good.

22

23

24

when you're finished reviewing this document.

25 that we've been referencing -- the same document

Q. Do you agree that that is the document

A. Not that I remember.

subcontract?

Q. Do you recall if Pro-Tect desired to

alter any terms to the agreement of the draft

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you know which person would have the most knowledge as it relates to that question that I iust asked?
- 4 A. Either Gene or Leslie, unless anything 5 was memorialized on e-mail that I don't remember.
- 6 Q. What I'll do is introduce this exhibit
- 7 here as Exhibit No. 5.
- 8 (Vega Exhibit No. 5 was
- 9 marked for identification.)
- 10 BY MS. GREEN:
- 11 Q. Please let me know when you're finished
- 12 reviewing the document.
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. I'm sorry, yes or no?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. May you please identify what this
- 19 document is?
- 20 A. An e-mail between Leslie and I.
- Q. What I'll do is I'll represent that this
- 22 document is disclosed in Pro-Tect's disclosures.
- 23 It's Bates numbered on the bottom right as 192.
- 24 Do you agree that Leslie sent you an
- 25 e-mail on June 22nd, 2015, speaking about a

- Page 51
- This is June 22nd; that's going back and forth.
- 3 O. So what other date concerns you in
- 4 regards to this specific contract that Leslie's
- 5 referring to in her June 22nd, 2015, e-mail not
- 6 referring to the subcontract agreement?
- 7 A. I don't know what this subcontract is 8 for. I'd have to see the rest of the e-mails.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I cannot tie this one to this one.
- 11 All Net wasn't the only contract that we were
- 12 pursuing.

9

10

- Q. What were some of the other entities that
- 14 Pro-Tect and SIS were pursuing a contract with in or
 - s around June 22nd of 2015?
- 16 A. Again, I don't know. I'd have to see the
- 17 rest of the e-mails.
- Q. Do you recall any other business entities
- 19 at all?

20

25

- A. Business entities or opportunities?
- 21 Q. Any business entities that SIS and
- Pro-Tect were contracting with as it relates to
- 23 providing security services in June of 2015?
- 24 A. Entities, no; opportunities, yes.
 - Q. What were those company's names, or what
- Page 50

- 1 contract?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do you agree that the contract that
- 4 Leslie was referring to was the, quote, draft
- 5 subcontract agreement that was discussed earlier?
- 6 A. I assume, yes.
- 7 Q. Could it be any other contract other than
- 8 the draft subcontract agreement?
- 9 A. Yes. As I stated earlier, we were in
- 10 discussions with several others.
- 11 O. With others?
- 12 A. I'd have to contracts.
- 13 I don't know. I'd have to see the chain
- 14 of e-mails that she gave you. I'm assuming, but the
- 15 dates are throwing me off.
- 16 Q. Okay. So do you have any reason to
- 17 dispute that the contract that Leslie was speaking
- 18 of in the June 22nd, 2015, e-mail, which was at the
- 19 time of 11:34, referred to the draft subcontract
- 20 agreement?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. What is your reason to dispute
- 23 that?
- 24 A. It's -- if this -- if this is
- 25 referring the dates that I have a concern with.

- 1 were those opportunities consist of in June of 2015?
- A. Again, I don't know. I'd have to look at the rest of the e-mails.
- 4 Q. Do you agree that you responded to
- 5 Leslie's e-mail indicating that you see some issues
- 6 but agree to most of the changes indicated in the
- 7 contract?

8

- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did you ever request from
- 10 Garylene Javier to send Leslie Bruno contractor
- 11 documents.
- Did you ever request from Garylene Javier
- 13 to send Pro-Tect a contract or subcontract agreement
- 14 in July of 2015?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What was that document?
- 17 A. The subcontract agreement.
- Q. And it was substantially in the same form
- 19 as Exhibit 4, which is titled, "Subcontract
- 20 agreement."
- 21 Is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. In fact, the subcontract agreement, which
- 24 is identified in Exhibit 4, is on SIS letterhead; is
- 25 that correct?

Page 53 Page 55 1 A. I assume Jackie Robinson. You'd have to A. Correct. 1 2 Q. Did you request for Garylene Javier to ask him. 2 change certain terms of the subcontract agreement 3 O. Ask him, being Jackie Robinson? A. Ask Don Wright. and send it to Pro-Tect again in July of 2015? 4 5 A. I don't remember. I'm assuming, based on 5 So Don Wright would have more information as it relates to that fact; is that correct? 6 this e-mail, I would have, yes. 6 7 Q. Do you know if Garylene Javier resent a 7 contract to Pro-Tect? Q. As a part of providing security services 8 8 to All Net, would some of that include providing 9 A. I don't remember. 9 security services to Jackie Robinson's residence? 10 So this document here I'm going to mark 11 as Exhibit 6, and this is Bates No. 203 to 205. A. Correct. 11 When your attorney is finished reviewing it, I'll 12 Q. If you can go in the same exhibit to provide you with a copy. Bates No. Pro-Tect 205 and take a look at the 13 13 July 5th, 2015, correspondence --14 (Vega Exhibit No. 6 was 15 marked for identification.) 15 A. Yeah. Q. -- from you? 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 BY MS. GREEN: 17 17 A. Yes. Q. Do you recognize the content of this 18 18 O. And it's for the time that's numbered exhibit that I've provided to you? 19 5:31 a.m. 19 20 A. Yes. 20 Do you agree that you wrote an e-mail indicating that Don should be there again early next 21 Q. Will you please indicate what the content 21 of this exhibit entails? 22 22 A. E-mails going back and forth between Did you get a response from Gage on your 23 23 24 Leslie, myself, and Gage. e-mail for the contract? 24 Q. And what, if anything, is being discussed 25 A. Yes. 25 Page 54 Page 56 1 in these e-mails? 1 Q. Okay. And the person "Don" referred to in that e-mail, is Don Wright; is that correct? 2 A. I'm sorry? Q. What, if anything, is being discussed in 3 A. Correct. 3 these e-mails that are marked as Exhibit 6? 4 Q. The person Gage referred to in that e-mail is Garylene Javier; is that correct? A. A security for Jackie Robinson's 5 5 A. Yes. 6 residence. 6 7 Q. To clarify, who is Jackie Robinson, as it 7 Q. In regards to the contract, was the contract that you're referring to in the e-mail the relates to All Net? 8 contract which is Bates numbered Pro-Tect 1 to 17? 9 A. He's the principal. O. So if SIS was negotiating any terms of a 10 A. Yes. 10 11 contract with All Net, would it be that it was Q. Okay. Then if you take a look at 11 negotiating the terms with Jackie Robinson? 12 Pro-Tect 204, it indicates that you wrote an e-mail 12 to Leslie dated July 5th, 2015, at 9:40 a.m.; is 13 that correct? Who else would SIS be negotiating the 14 14 A. I'm sorry? 15 terms of any contract that may have been formed with 15 16 All Net? Q. In the Bates numbered page Pro-Tect 204, it indicates that you wrote an e-mail to Leslie on 17 A. Don Wright. July 5th, 2015, at about 9:40 a.m.; is that correct? Q. As it relates to All Net security? 18 18

A. I guess I don't understand the question.

- 19
- Q. So who would SIS be negotiating any terms 20
- of a contract with as it relates to forming a
- contract, or possible contract, with All Net? 22
- 23 A. That would have been us, Don Wright.
- Don Wright and who at All Net would be 24
- 25 negotiating the certain terms of the contract?

OASIS REPORTING SERVICES, LLC

19

20

23

24

25

A. Yes.

A. Yes.

mark; is that correct?

Q. And in that e-mail, you indicate, quote,

and she indicated she sent it out. Gage, question

Might have been a mistake, comma, I asked last week,

And do you agree that the term "it" that

- 1 is defined in your e-mail, is the subcontract
- 2 referred to in Exhibit 4, which is Bates numbered
- 3 Pro-Tect 1 through 17?
 - A. Yes.

4

8

- 5 Q. And on the same Bates number, Page 204,
- 6 it indicates that Garylene Javier sent an e-mail to
 - Leslie on or around 9:11 a.m.; is that correct?
 - A. I'm sorry, what number? Yes. Yes. Yes.
- 9 Q. On the same -- okay.
- 10 And the body of the e-mail specifically
- 11 states, I hope you have a nice weekend. I sent the
- 12 revised contract last week, but I'm attaching again
- 13 just in case.
- 14 Is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And the revised contract that is referred
- 17 to in that particular e-mail is the subcontract
- 18 agreement that is Bates numbered 1 to 17; is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And in this e-mail correspondence, nobody
- 22 from SIS indicated that Pro-Tect was not supposed to
- 23 execute the subcontract agreement, which is Bates
- 24 numbered Pro-Tect 1 to 17; is that correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 differs from SIS responses; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

9

20

1

5

- Q. Is there a reason why those -- your
- 4 testimony today differs from SIS's responses?
 - A. My recollection came from the e-mail.
- 6 Q. With SIS forming these responses, did SIS
- 7 review any of the documents that Pro-Tect provided
- 8 to SIS that relates to this matter?
 - A. I don't recall.
- 10 O. Did SIS look at its own e-mails that
- 11 relates to a document titled, "The subcontract
- 12 agreement," being sent to Pro-Tect before it
- 13 provided these responses to Pro-Tect's propounded
- 14 discovery?
- 15 A. We did. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. And in looking at these e-mails, would
- 17 you agree -- strike that.
- You agree that the e-mails discussed in
- 19 Exhibit 6 were sent directly to you --
 - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- is that correct?
- Okay. So you would have been able to
- 23 have access to review some of the e-mails here that
- 24 are in Exhibit 6 from which were sent to Pro-Tect;
- 25 is that correct?

Page 58

Page 60

Page 59

- 1 Q. So seeing the e-mails provided in this
- 2 exhibit, coupled with the subcontract agreement that
- 3 is Bates numbered 1 to 17, refreshes your
- 4 recollection as to SIS sending the subcontract
- 5 agreement to Pro-Tect; is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. However, in the responses that SIS
- 8 answered as it relates to Pro-Tect inquiring about
- 9 the same subcontract agreement, SIS represented that
- 10 it does not remember sending a subcontract
- 11 agreement; is that correct?
- A. Are you asking about the draft or the
- 13 actual subcontract agreement?
- 14 Q. I'm asking about the subcontract
- 15 agreement that was sent to Pro-Tect.
 - A. Mm-hmm.

16

- 17 Q. So I'll start over.
- So in SIS's responses to Pro-Tect's
- 19 propounded discovery that asked about the
- 20 subcontract agreement, SIS responded that it does
- 21 not remember ever providing SIS with a subcontract
- 22 agreement; is that correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. However, your testimony today in regards
- 25 to having knowledge about the subcontract agreement

- A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Did you review those e-mails that you
- 3 sent to Pro-Tect from which encompass Exhibit 6
- 4 before you answered these --
 - A. I don't think I've ever seen them.
- 6 Q. -- these responses?
- 7 Okay. However, your testimony here today
- 8 is that SIS did, in fact, send a document to
- 9 Pro-Tect that is titled, "The subcontract
- 10 agreement."
- 11 Is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And at no point is there any written
- 14 correspondence from SIS that indicates that Pro-Tect
- 15 is not to sign the subcontract agreement that was
- 16 sent; is that correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. In fact, you specifically
- 9 requested Garylene Javier to send the revised
- 20 contract, which is Bates numbered as Pro-Tect 1
- 21 through 17; is that correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Do you agree that Pro-Tect sent SIS the
- 24 subcontract agreement back, which was signed by the
- 25 officer of Pro-Tect?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you agree that Pro-Tect
- 3 requested the executed copy -- strike that.
- 4 Do you agree that Pro-Tect requested the
- 5 subcontract agreement back from which SIS also
- 6 signed?

7

- A. I'm sorry?
- 8 Q. So do you agree that Pro-Tect, when it
- 9 provided its signed version of the subcontract
- 10 agreement, also requested SIS to provide it with the
- 11 same contract signed by SIS?
- 12 A. I assume so.
- Q. So what do you base your assumption upon?
- 14 A. That the request would have to come from
- 15 her. I don't --
- 16 Q. Do you have an independent recollection
- 17 of Pro-Tect requesting a signed copy of the
- 18 subcontract agreement from SIS?
- 19 A. No, other than the e-mails going back and
- 20 forth.
- Q. Did you review any of those e-mails
- 22 before your deposition here today?
- A. Just the ones this morning and yesterday.
- Q. Did you review -- to specify, did you
- 25 review any of the e-mails from which Pro-Tect

- O. And Garylene Javier was also responsible
- 2 for revising contracts that were sent to third
- 3 parties on behalf of SIS; is that correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
 - Q. And you specifically directed
- 6 Garylene Javier to send Pro-Tect a revised contract,
- 7 which is titled in the document, "The subcontract
- 8 agreement."

5

- 9 Is that correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And there are no documents or written
- 12 correspondence that indicates that Pro-Tect was not
- 13 supposed to sign this subcontract agreement; is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Is there any written correspondence from
- 17 SIS that indicates that Pro-Tect cannot rely upon
- 18 Garylene Javier executing a contract on behalf of
- 19 SIS?

1

7

- 20 A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. Okay. So do you believe that a
- 22 reasonable person would believe that Garylene Javier
- 23 had the authority to execute a contract on behalf of
- 24 SIS when she was the one who changed the terms of
- 25 the contract and re-sent it to Pro-Tect?

Page 62

Page 64

- 1 requested ---
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- SIS to provide a copy?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you have any reason to dispute the
- 6 genuineness of those e-mails that specifically ask
- 7 SIS to provide Pro-Tect with a signed copy of the
- 8 subcontract agreement?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. So the document entitled, "The
- 11 subcontract agreement," which is Bates numbered 1 to
- 12 17, do you agree that this is the agreement that SIS
- 13 and Pro-Tect entered into on or around July of 2015?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Why don't you agree to that statement?
- 16 A. Because my signature or Don's signature
- 17 isn't on that agreement.
- 18 Q. Okay. Whoever you directed -- however,
- 19 Garylene Javier was your employee at the time the
- 20 contract was executed; is that correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And Ms. Javier was responsible for
- 23 providing or sending contracts to third parties on
- 24 behalf of SIS; is that correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- A. Repeat that.
- Q. Do you believe a reasonable person would
- 3 believe that Garylene Javier had the authority to
- 4 sign on behalf of SIS when she was the person who
- 5 changed the terms of the subcontract agreement and
- 6 re-sent it to Pro-Tect?
 - A. No.
- 8 Q. Why do you believe a reasonable person
- 9 would not believe Garylene Javier had the authority
- 10 to sign on behalf of SIS when you directed her to
- 11 change the terms of the contract and re-extend to
- 12 Pro-Tect?
- A. I I am a principal in SIS. If I'm
- 14 working with a subcontract agreement with another
- 15 company, which I do most of the time, and it
- 16 normally comes from a principal of that company.
- 17 Q. However, Garylene Javier did send this
- 18 subcontract agreement for -- to Pro-Tect with the
- 19 expectation for Pro-Tect to sign it; is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Okay. Does Garylene Javier still work
- 23 for your company?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. When did Garylene Javier cease working

Page 65 Page 67 1 for your company? Q. So is it SIS's contention that Ms. Javier 1 2 A. I don't remember. 2 does not sign contracts on behalf of SIS? 3 O. Do you have any knowledge as to why she 3 A. No. stopped working for your company? O. It is not SIS's contention? 4 5 A. She -- we were helping her with getting 5 A. The only people that can sign with 6 her law degree, and she severely destroyed a 6 regards to the company are the officers of the contract in which we lost. 7 company. Q. How, in your opinion, did she destroy a 8 8 Q. Okay. And where -- so to clarify, to 9 contract from which SIS lost? 9 make sure the deposition transcript is clear, it is actually SIS's position that Ms. Javier did not sign 10 A. She was getting responses from the --11 from the government in which nobody else had access 11 contracts on behalf of SIS, correct? to and declining to respond back to the government; A. Correct. 12 we lost the contract. Q. Okay. I want to make it clear. 13 13 O. What was the month and date that And you testified just now that only the 14 14 Ms. Javier was terminated -- strike that. officers of the company signed contracts on behalf 15 16 of SIS; is that correct? Let me go back. 16 17 Did Ms. Javier voluntarily leave the 17 A. Correct. company or was she fired? Q. Is that noted in any handbooks or 18 18 19 A. She was terminated. 19 training manuals? Q. What was the month and year that A. Not that I'm aware of. 20 20 21 Ms. Javier was terminated? 21 O. Okav. 22 A. I have no idea. A. I don't know. You would have to ask Don. Q. Who would have that information? 23 Q. After Ms. Javier was terminated, have you 23 communicated with Ms. Javier since that time? 24 24 A. Mr. Wright. And was it ever communicated to Pro-Tect 25 A. No. Page 68 Page 66 Q. And which -- which business is it SIS's 1 that Ms. Javier could not sign contracts on behalf 1 position that Garylene Javier failed to respond to? of SIS? 3 I'm sorry? 3 Not that I'm aware of. What was the name of the business from O. Who would have the most information as it 4 4 5 which SIS contends that Ms. Javier failed to respond relates to that question I just asked you? 5 6 6 A. As to? 7 7 A. Like, the name of our business? O. As to whether or not it was communicated Q. No, what was the name of the entity to Ms. -- or to Pro-Tect that Ms. Javier could not 8 8 and/or business firm that SIS contends Ms. Javier sign contracts on behalf of SIS? 9 did not respond to? 10 A. Either Mr. Wright or myself. 10 A. The U.S. Government. 11 Okay. But you're testifying right now 11 Q. Was there a specific -- particular branch that you have no knowledge --12 12 of the U.S. Government. 13 A. No. 13 A. Office of Inspector General. Q. -- as to whether or not SIS communicated 14 14 O. Office of? to Pro-Tect that Ms. Javier could not sign contracts 15 on behalf of SIS; is that correct? A. Inspector General. 16 16 Q. In July of 2015, how long was Garylene 17 A. That's correct. 17 Javier working for your company? 18 Q. Okay. Did you personally ever review the subcontract agreement from which was signed by 19

- A. I don't remember.
- Q. Do you generally remember how long 20
- 21 Ms. Javier was employed for your company?
- 22
- A. No.
- Q. Had she been in your company in July 2015 23
- 24 for more than a year?
- A. Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

Leslie Bruno?

A. Yes.

Q. When did you first review the contract?

Q. Did you actually see that the -- that

25 Leslie Bruno signed the document entitled, "The

A. I guess at the beginning.

Page 71 Page 69 1 Please see the attached fully executed copy of the subcontract agreement"? 1 subcontract agreement. Have a nice weekend. A. Did I actually see it? 2 Do you agree with the representation made 3 O. Yeah. 3 in this e-mail? A. I didn't recall. 4 4 5 O. So I want to introduce this exhibit here. 5 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And at no time in between Leslie 6 Once your attorney is finished reviewing this, I'll 6 provide you with a copy. sending the e-mail to you, Ms. Javier, and Mr. Vega, and Ms. Javier re-sending the executed copy of the MR. BLAYLOCK: Go ahead. 8 8 subcontract agreement, did SIS indicate that 9 BY MS. GREEN: 9 Garylene Javier could not sign the agreement; is 10 Q. When you're finished reviewing it, please that correct? 11 let me know. 11 12 I'm going to mark this exhibit as Exhibit 12 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And at no time in between Leslie 13 No. 7. 13 sending the executed contract to Pro-Tect, to you, (Vega Exhibit No. 7 was 14 14 marked for identification.) Mr. Wright, and Ms. Javier, and Ms. Javier sending 15 16 BY MS. GREEN: the executed copy of the same, did it indicate that Pro-Tect could not rely upon the subcontract Q. Do you recognize the content located in 17 17 agreement; is that correct? this document that is Bates numbered 213? A. Correct. 19 A. I recognize it, yes. 19 Q. Okay. And will you please state for the 20 Q. And if you can -- if you do have 20 Exhibit 4 in front of you again, I just would like 21 record what you believe this document encompasses? A. E-mails between Leslie and Javier. to refer you to the subcontract agreement. Q. Were you copied on any of those e-mails? A. Yes. 23 23 A. Yes. Q. This is not your first time reviewing 24 24 this subcontract agreement, correct? Q. And I do want to direct your attention to Page 70 Page 72 the July 8th, 2015, e-mail, around 12:15 p.m. Do 1 A. Correct. Q. So do you agree that this subcontract you agree that Leslie sent Garylene Javier, you, and agreement does not make it contingent that Pro-Tect Mr. Wright, an e-mail at that time? 3 4 A. Yes. is to be paid only if All Net pays SIS? Q. Okay. And in the e-mail, it specifically 5 A. Say again. 5 6 Do you agree that there is nothing in the states, Yippee, here is the contract, comma, please 6 subcontract agreement that states that Pro-Tect is forward executed copy to my attention. 7 7 8 Is that correct? not to be paid unless All Net pays SIS? 9 A. Correct. 9 A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that the contract that 10 Q. In fact, there is no contingency 10 agreement in this subcontract agreement. Do you 11 Leslie Bruno is referring to in this e-mail is the 11 12 contract that is in Exhibit 4 that is titled, "The agree? 12 13 subcontract agreement"? 13 A. Correct. Q. I do want to introduce -- I'm going to A. That is correct. 14 14 introduce this exhibit here as Exhibit 8. Once your 15 Q. And you received this -- you agree that attorney is finished reviewing, I'll provide you 16 you did receive this e-mail; is that correct? with a copy. 17 A. Yes. 17 Q. And at the time that you received the MR. BLAYLOCK: Go ahead. 18 18 e-mail, you had knowledge that Pro-Tect actually 19 (Vega Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.) executed the subcontract agreement at that time; is 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 that correct? 21 22 A. Yes. 22 BY MS. GREEN: Q. So what I'll represent is that these 23 Q. And then I would like you to just look 23 documents were provided in Pro-Tect's Rule 26 above a little bit. It states here, On

July 10th, 2015, at 12:41, Garylene Javier wrote,

disclosures. They're Bates numbered Pro-Tect 18 to

Page 75 Page 73 1 27. 1 report provided after the subcontract agreement, was 2 Do you recognize these documents provided signed by Leslie and Ms. Javier; is that correct? 3 in this exhibit? 3 A. Correct. 4 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you -- did you personally receive 5 What are these documents? this invoice that's Bates numbered Pro-Tect 20? 6 Invoices. 6 A. I don't remember specifically, but I 7 O. And what are these invoices for? 7 assume so. 8 A. For security services. Q. Did SIS have notice that Pro-Tect was 8 9 Q. Did you receive these invoices? billing it for services provided in July of 2015? 9 10 A. I believe so. 10 11 Q. What do you base your belief upon? Q. What, if anything, was SIS's response to 11 the invoices that relate to the services Pro-Tect 12 A. I remember -- I remember seeing them, so 12 whether I was copied on the e-mail or they were sent billed SIS? 13 to me directly, but I remember them. 14 14 A. I'm sorry, say that again. Q. And we could first take a look at one of Q. What was the response that SIS sent --15 15 16 the invoices, and we'll look at, first, the one communicated to Pro-Tect as it relates to the 16 that's Bates numbered Pro-Tect 18 at the bottom services that Pro-Tect provided to SIS in or around right. 18 18 July of 2015? 19 A. Mm-hmm. 19 A. I remember we had to -- both our 20 This invoice specifically states that the 20 companies had to keep a tallying role of what we 21 executive protection provided was billed to Special were spending on ourself. We had been doing it a Intelligence Service; is that correct? number of years. When she provided services, we 23 A. Yes. asked her to do the same. 23 24 Q. And that is from which you're an officer Q. Okay. Was Pro-Tect supposed to be paid 24 of the company; is that correct? for these invoices from which it was billed to SIS Page 74 Page 76 1 A. Yes. 1 for the services it provided? 2 O. And these were for services that were 2 A. When the contract was funded, yes. Q. Do you have anything after July of 2015 provided in June of 2015. 3 3 4 Do you agree? that indicates that Pro-Tect is only to be paid only if the contract is funded? 5 Yes. 6 And then also, too, I would like you to 6 A. I don't recall. You're asking about if 7 take a look at Pro-Tect -- at the document that's 7 it was written or e-mailed or anything? Bates numbered on the bottom right, Pro-Tect 20. Q. Anything. 9 Let me know when you're finished reviewing that. 9 A. I remember oral conversations that we 10 A. Yes. 10 had, yes. 11 Q. Okay. However, and you do agree that the 11 Do you agree that this is an invoice that was billed to Special Intelligence Service; is that responses that SIS provided, which contradicted each 12 12 other, indicated that the only oral agreement that 13 correct? was made was in May of 2015; is that correct? A. Yes. 14 14 MR. BLAYLOCK: Object to the form. 15 Q. And these were for services that Pro-Tect 15 BY MS. GREEN: 16 provided to it states -- strike that. 16 O. You can answer that. These were for services from which 17 17 A. I don't remember. Pro-Tect provided that was billed to SIS; is that 18 18 19 correct? 19 Q. You don't remember? 20 20 Yeah. A. Correct. A. Okay. Okay. If you can take a look at Q. And these were for services provided in 21 21 Exhibit 2, please, sir. Look at your responses or 22 July of 2015; is that correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And for the services here provided in

July of 2015, those services, in part, progress

23

24

23

24

25

interrogatories.

A. Which number?

look at SIS's responses to Pro-Tect's second set of

Case 2:17-cv-01685-JAD-NJK Document 76-10 Filed 07/09/19 Page 22 of 26 Page 77 Page 79 Q. Both. There should be two of them, I MS. GREEN: Okay. We'll take a 1 1 2 ten-minute break, and then I think I'm almost think. 2 finished. After we're finished taking your 3 3 A. Okay. Q. Let me know when you're finished deposition, we can either do a 30-minute break, or I 4 could follow up behind; it's up to you. 5 reviewing it. 5 MR. BLAYLOCK: Is it going to be similar 6 A. Okay. 6 7 Q. So it is SIS's position that a, 7 length? quote/unquote, oral agreement was formed in 8 MS. GREEN: Similar length, maybe even May of 2015; is that correct? 9 9 shorter. 10 A. Yes. 10 MR. WRIGHT: I want to go get a 11 Q. However, a subcontract agreement was 11 hamburger. 12 signed by Garylene Javier and Leslie Bruno in July MS. GREEN: Let's take a ten-minute 12 of 2015. break; I'll finish his depo. 13 13 MR. BLAYLOCK: Sound good. 14 Do you agree? 14 MS. GREEN: Ten minutes, then we'll come 15 A. Yes. 15 Q. Do you agree that invoices were sent 16 16 back here. to -- I'll make it clear. Strike that. 17 17 (Short break was taken.) And you agree that Pro-Tect sent invoices MS. GREEN: Back on the record. 18 18 to SIS that relates to services provided in July 19 BY MS. GREEN: 19 of 2015; is that correct? Q. So Luis, do you still understand that 20 you're under the same oath that you took this 21 A. Correct. 21 Q. And you agree that SIS does not have any morning? 22 22 documents or any other evidence that indicates that 23 A. Yes. any other agreements were formed after July of 2015 O. You testified earlier that Ms. Javier 24 25 that relates to Pro-Tect only being paid if, quote, 25 normally doesn't sign contracts on behalf of SIS; is Page 78 Page 80 1 the project was funded; is that correct? 1 that correct? 2 A. Not that I recall. 2 A. Say again. 3 Q. Okay. Do you believe that you would be Q. You testified earlier that Ms. Javier the person that would have the most information that normally doesn't sign contracts on behalf of SIS; is that correct? relates to my previous question? 5 A. Yes. 6 A. Correct. 6 Q. You specifically indicated that 7 Q. And did you ever personally communicate 7 to Leslie Bruno that, although future contracts may Ms. Javier does not have SIS's authority to sign be canceled, that SIS would be making payment for contracts on behalf of SIS; is that correct? 9 security services that Pro-Tect provided? 10 A. Correct. 10 A. Say -- repeat that. Q. Okay. So other than the subcontract 11 11 Q. Do you agree that you personally informed agreement that is Exhibit 4 in this matter, to your 12 13 Ms. Bruno that SIS would be making payment for the knowledge, has Ms. Javier signed any other contracts 13 services that Pro-Tect provided? on behalf of SIS? 14 14 15 15 A. Not that I'm aware of. Nondisclosure Okay. Do you agree that Pro-Tect 16 16 agreements. provided services on -- provided security services Q. So she does sign nondisclosure 17 17

- 18 to All Net?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you agree that Pro-Tect provided
- 21 security services -- strike that.
- Do you agree that Pro-Tect provided
- 23 security service -- security services in reliance of
- 24 the subcontract agreement that was executed?
- 25 A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

agreements?

A. Yes.

correct?

Q. So, in fact, she is able to sign

contracts on behalf of SIS; is that correct?

Q. So your testimony earlier is that she

didn't sign any contracts on behalf of SIS; is that

A. Nondisclosure agreements.

Page 83 Page 81 agree that Garylene Javier signed the nondisclosure 1 A. Nondisclosure agreements. You --Q. I'm asking the questions. 2 2 agreement? 3 Your testimony earlier is she didn't sign 3 A. Yes. any contracts on behalf of SIS; is that correct? O. And she signed the nondisclosure 4 agreement on behalf of SIS? 5 A. Correct. 5 6 Q. Okay. However your testimony now, today, A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you agree that the signature block right now is that she, in fact, does sign 7 7 from which Ms. Javier signed the nondisclosure nondisclosure agreements on behalf of SIS; is that agreement is in the exact form as the signature 9 correct? 9 block that is provided in the subcontract agreement 10 A. Correct. that was Exhibit 4? 11 O. And she sends the nondisclosure 11 12 agreements that she signs on behalf of SIS to third 12 A. Signature or signature block? The signature block on behalf of SIS. parties, including companies; is that correct? 13 Q. 13 14 A. Correct. 14 A. 15 Okay. And you're testifying here today, 15 Okay. And is it your opinion that a O. under oath, that Ms. Javier did have the authority nondisclosure agreement is actually a contract? 16 16 to sign this nondisclosure agreement; is that A. No, it's an agreement for sharing 17 17 correct? 18 information. 18 19 Q. Okay. So you do not believe that a 19 A. Yes. 20 nondisclosure agreement has terms to a contract that Q. However, you're stating now, under the 20 each party must abide to? 21 testimony, that Ms. Javier did not have the 21 authority to sign the subcontract agreement, 22 A. It does, yes. although she had the authority to sign the Q. So do you agree that Ms. Javier signed 23 24 any other agreements on behalf of SIS as it relates 24 nondisclosure agreement; is that correct? to doing business with Pro-Tect? 25 A. Correct. Page 84 Page 82 Q. However, this nondisclosure agreement 1 A. Not that I recall. 1 that Ms. Javier signed was sent to Pro-Tect, Q. Okay. And -- we'll go to -- here, if you 2 can -- I don't have as many copies. I apologize. correct? 3 Once you review that, Mr. Blaylock, if 4 A. Correct. 4 you can have Luis also review that, then I can Q. And do you recall being copied on the 5 e-mail indicating that Garylene Javier signed the 6 question him from there. 6 7 nondisclosure agreement on behalf of SIS? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 A. I don't recall. I probably would have, BY MS. GREEN: 8 Q. Please review this document, and let me 9 or maybe not. I don't recall. 9 know when you're finished reviewing the document? MS. GREEN: Once you're finished 10 10 reviewing, give that to your client. (Vega Exhibit No. 9 was 11 11 (Vega Exhibit No. 10 was marked for identification.) 12 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 marked for identification.) 13 BY MS. GREEN: 14 BY MS. GREEN: 14 Q. Do you recognize this document? 15 Q. Once you're finished reviewing it, please 15 16 let me know. 16 Q. Can you please let us know what this 17 A. Okav. 17 Q. Do you recognize the content that's document is? 18 19 contained in those documents? A. A mutual nondisclosure agreement. 19 Q. And do you agree that this nondisclosure 20 20 O. What are those -- do those documents 21 agreement is the one -- strike that. 21 Do you agree that the nondisclosure 22 entail? 22 agreement is on SIS letterhead? 23 A. E-mails going back and forth from Gage to 23 24 Leslie and I. 24 A. Yes. Q. Do you agree that those e-mails going 25 Q. And looking at the last page, do you 25

- 1 back and forth between Leslie, you, and Gage show
- that the nondisclosure agreement was sent to
- Pro-Tect? 3

4

- A. Yes.
- 5 And the nondisclosure -- to clarify, the
- nondisclosure agreement that was sent to Pro-Tect
- was the one signed by Garylene Javier, correct? 7
- 8 A. Correct.
- O. Do you agree that the e-mail 9
- 10 correspondence that is sent in the -- that is
- 11 entailed in the documents labeled Exhibit 10 mirror
- 12 the same discussions that was mirrored in the
- e-mails relating to signing the subcontract
- agreement?
- 15 A. I'd have to look.
- That should be Exhibit 7. 16
- 17 A. What was your question again?
- Q. Do you agree that the e-mails that were 18
- 19 exchanged between Leslie, you, and Gage, as it
- relates to the subcontract agreement, and from which
- is Exhibit 10, are similar to the e-mail exchange
- relating to the subcontract agreement in Exhibit 7? 22
- 23
- 24 O. Okay. How do you believe those two are
- 25 different?

- O. Okay. And at no -- and you did testify
 - earlier that at no point when Leslie provided you 2
 - with the signed subcontract agreement, that ISIS was
 - not going to sign the subcontract agreement or that
 - it did not intend to sign it; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.

6

7

- Q. And then -- once you're finished
- reviewing, if you can provide that to your client,
- 9 and we're going to mark this one as Exhibit 11.
- (Vega Exhibit No. 11 was 10
- marked for identification.) 11
- BY MS. GREEN: 12
- Q. When you finish reviewing the document, 13
- please let me know. 14
- 15 A. Okav.
- Q. Do you recognize the contents contained 16
- in the document? 17
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Will you please identify what this
- document means to you? 20
- A. It's just an update from Leslie to both 21
- 22 Don and I.
- Q. And do you agree that, in that update, 23
- 24 Leslie indicated that the invoices that relate to
- Pro-Tect providing services exceeded more than

Page 86

Page 88

Page 87

- A. Well, this is in reference to the 1
- subcontract. This is in reference to the 2
- 3 nondisclosure agreement.
- Q. Do you agree that the subcontract 4
- agreement, that's in Exhibit 7, there's an e-mail
- 6 from Leslie to, specifically, you and Gage that
- state this is Pro-Tect's signed version of the
- subcontract agreement? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you agree that Gage then 10
- sent Pro-Tect, in the e-mail, July 10th, 2015, a 11
- signed copy of the subcontract agreement from her
- indicating that's an executed copy of the 13
- 14 subcontract agreement?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And do you agree that in the
- nondisclosure agreement -- Gage provided Leslie with
- the signed copy of the nondisclosure agreement, as
- well? 19
- 20 A. Yes, with us, attached to it.
- 21 Q. Okay. And you were attached also to the
- e-mail exchange with Pro-Tect stating, This is my
- signed copy of the subcontract agreement, as well;
- is that correct? 24
- 25 A. From Leslie to us, yes.

- \$157,000 at that time?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And this e-mail is dated
- August 1st, 2015; is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you recall your response in regards to
- Leslie sending this e-mail?
- My response, no. 8
- Okay. Did you ever indicate -- do you 9
- recall ever indicating to Leslie that Pro-Tect
- should not be seeking payment from ISIS once she
- sent this e-mail to you? 12
- 13 A. No. But -- no, I remember telling her to
- 14 pull her people off.
- Q. Did you ever indicate to her that she 15
- should not be asking you specifically, or the 16
- company, SIS, for payment for the \$157,000? 17
- 18
 - Q. Okay. And you testified just now that
- you told Ms. Bruno to pull her people off; is that 20
- correct?

19

- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What was the first date that you told her 23
- 24 to pull her people off?
 - A. I don't remember.

	Page 89	Page 91
1	Q. Do you recall the year?	1 Q. And in that, it states that Pro-Tect did
2	A. I assume it's 2015.	2 not provide any services for the benefit of SIS;
3	Q. Do you recall the month?	3 however, you just testified under oath today that
4	A. No.	4 Pro-Tect did, in fact, provide services on behalf of
5	Q. Do you recall the first time you told her	5 SIS; is that correct?
6	to turn to to pull her people off?	6 A. On behalf of SIS, yes.
7	A. No.	7 Q. Correct. So is there a reason why SIS's
8	Q. At the time that you testified that you	8 response here today is different from the response
9	told her to, quote/unquote, pull her people off, did	9 that it provided in its interrogatory responses?
10	Pro-Tect already provide security services to	10 A. A mistake.
11	All Net at that time?	11 Q. Okay. However, you did testify earlier,
12	A. Yes.	12 under oath, that you did review SIS's responses to
13	Q. So do you agree that SIS did have	13 Pro-Tect's propounded discovery, and that you would
14	knowledge that Pro-Tect was providing security	14 not change any of the responses at that time; is
15	services to All Net?	15 that correct?
16	A. Yes.	16 A. Correct.
17	Q. Now, what I will represent and to	17 Q. Okay. I don't have any further
18	clarify, at that time means in 2015. So to clarify,	18 questions.
19	SIS did have knowledge that Pro-Tect was providing	MS. REPORTER: Would you like this 20 written?
20 21	services on behalf of All Net, correct? A. Yes.	20 written? 21 MS. GREEN: I get a copy.
22	Q. And at that time, the subcontract	22 MS. REPORTER: Mr. Blaylock, a copy?
23	agreement, which is Bates numbered Pro-Tect 1 to 17,	23 MR. BLAYLOCK: Condensed and regular.
24	provided terms in the agreement from which Pro-Tect	24 (Whereupon the deposition concluded
25	was to provide services on behalf of All Net; is	25 at 12:16 p.m.)
	,	F,
	Page 90	Page 92
1	that correct?	1 CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT
2	A. Correct.	2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
3	Q. And the subcontract agreement indicated,	3 4
4	as well, that Pro-Tect was serving as a subcontract	5
5	representative for SIS; is that correct?	6
6	A. Yes.	7
7	Q. So this should be our last one here.	9
8	What I'll do is I'm going to mark this	10
9	exhibit as Exhibit 12. If you can just review that	11
10	and pass it to your client.	12
11 12	(Vega Exhibit No. 12 was marked for identification.)	13 *****
13	BY MS. GREEN:	14 I, LUIS VEGA, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare the within and foregoing
14	Q. Please let me know when you're finished	15 transcription to be my deposition in said action;
15	reviewing this document.	that I have read, corrected, and do hereby affix my
16	A. Yes.	16 signature, under penalty of perjury, to said
17	Q. You recognize this document; is that	deposition.
18	correct?	17 18
19	A. Yes.	LUIS VEGA
20	Q. What I'll do is I'll represent that this	19 Deponent
21	exhibit is SIS's responses to Pro-Tect's first set	20
22	of interrogatories.	21 22
23	I would like to have you refer to SIS's	23
24	response to Interrogatory No. 4.	24
25	A. Yes.	25

